

Are Neutrino Oscillations Compatible with the Decaying Neutrino
Theory for the Ionisation of Hydrogen in the Interstellar Medium?

D.W. Sciama*

SISSA and ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy
Department of Physics, Oxford University

Recent Super-Kamiokande data have greatly strengthened the hypothesis that the atmospheric neutrino anomaly is mainly due to nearly maximal oscillations between ν_μ and either ν_τ or a sterile neutrino ν_μ^s . In this letter we point out that the decaying neutrino theory for the ionisation of hydrogen in the interstellar medium, in conjunction with the assumption that the cosmological constant λ is zero, requires these oscillations to be between ν_μ and ν_μ^s . This requirement can be tested by forthcoming Super-Kamiokande measurements.

A further requirement can be derived if the solar neutrino deficit is energy dependent and if both it and the LSND data are also due to neutrino oscillations. In that case the decaying neutrino theory alone (without any assumption about the value of λ) requires both that the atmospheric anomaly is due to $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$ oscillations and that the solar neutrino oscillations are between ν_e and a second sterile neutrino ν_e^s . This latter requirement can be tested by forthcoming SNO and BOREXINO measurements.

If these are also $\nu_\tau - \nu_\tau^s$ oscillations the decay line would be split into two lines of nearly equal intensity and spacing less than ~ 0.2 eV.

Recent Super-Kamiokande data [1] have greatly strengthened the hypothesis that the observed deficit in the flux of atmospheric ν_μ 's is mainly due to their nearly maximal oscillation into another neutrino flavor, which a priori could be ν_e , ν_τ or ν_μ^s (a hypothetical sterile neutrino which lacks the standard electroweak interactions). These and other relevant neutrino data have been analysed in detail [2] with the following results:

- (i) $\nu_\mu - \nu_e$ oscillations can be ruled out as the main origin of the deficit
- (ii) $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ and $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$ oscillations fit the data nearly equally well, with $\delta m^2 \sim 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} eV^2$.
- (iii) forthcoming data should be able to resolve this ambiguity relatively soon. This resolution is possible because ν_τ has neutral current interactions with matter in the detector and in the Earth, while ν_μ^s does not. This difference affects both the production rate of neutral pions in the detector, and the energy and zenith angle dependence of the ν_μ flux.

The $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$ option has also to pass the test of not violating constraints from big bang nucleosynthesis (BBNS). It used to be argued [3] that maximal mixing between ν_μ and ν_μ^s would give rise to a thermal distribution of ν_μ^s in the early universe, leading to an effective number N_ν of neutrino flavors equal to 4. This would contradict the implications of the observed abundances of the light elements, especially D and He⁴. However, it has recently been shown [4] that $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$ oscillations in the early universe would induce a lepton asymmetry which in turn could lead to a significant reduction in N_ν from the expected value of 4. Accordingly the $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$ option remains a viable one for resolving the atmospheric neutrino anomaly.

In this letter we point out that the decaying neutrino theory [5] for the origin of the ionisation of hydrogen in the interstellar medium of our Galaxy could resolve the ambiguity between ν_τ and ν_μ^s , in favor of ν_μ^s , if the cosmological constant λ is zero. According to this theory dark matter neutrinos decay into hydrogen - ionising photons and less massive neutrinos. In order for these decay photons to be the main source of the free electrons in the interstellar medium the decay lifetime needs to be $\sim 2 \times 10^{23}$ sec. The mass m_1 of the decaying neutrino is constrained [6] to be 27.4 ± 0.2 eV, if the mass of the secondary neutrino in the decay $\ll m_1$. It would then follow [6] from this that $\Omega h^2 \sim 0.3$, where Ω is the density of the universe in units of the critical density and h is the Hubble constant in units of $100 \text{ km} \cdot \text{sec}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$.

Some of the recent observational estimates of h [7] and of the age t_0 of the universe [8], while still uncertain, would be compatible with our constrained value of Ωh^2 if $\Omega = 1$ (so that $h = 0.55$ and $t_0 = 12$ Gyr). This compatibility is achieved for $\lambda = 0$, which we regard as an attractive feature of the decaying neutrino theory that we would wish to maintain for the time being, despite the indications from recent observations of supernovae at large red shifts [9] that $\Omega < 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. This evidence is preliminary and needs to be confirmed.

On the other hand there does exist some evidence [10] in favor of the decaying neutrino theory, based on Hubble Space Telescope observations [11] of the spectrum of the halo star HD93521. This suggests that it would be worthwhile to explore the implications of this theory for phenomena involving neutrino oscillations. In particular we shall now show that it would resolve the ambiguity between ν_τ and ν_μ^s in the atmospheric neutrino anomaly if $\lambda = 0$. To see this we note that, since $m_{\nu_e} < 15$ eV [12], the decaying neutrino must be either ν_μ or ν_τ . Since δm^2 is so small, if ν_μ oscillations into ν_τ were the origin of the atmospheric anomaly, it would follow that $m_{\nu_\mu} \sim m_{\nu_\tau}$. Accordingly the density of the

universe would have to be nearly doubled from our previous value, so that $\Omega h^2 \sim 0.6$. If one assumes that $\lambda = 0$ and $t_o \geq 12$ Gyr, one would require $h \leq 0.4$ and $\Omega \geq 3.7$, values which are strongly disfavored. Accordingly one concludes that the atmospheric neutrino anomaly must be due to nearly maximal $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$ oscillations.

This prediction of the decaying neutrino theory should soon be tested by the forthcoming experiments mentioned earlier. It also may have astrophysical implications since oscillations of ν_μ into ν_μ^s may play a crucial role in r-process nucleosynthesis and shock revival in supernova envelopes [13], in the production of highly relativistic jets in γ ray burst events [14], and in baryosynthesis [15].

We can make a further deduction from the decaying neutrino theory (without any assumption about the value of λ) if the solar neutrino [16] and Los Alamos LSND [17] anomalies are also due to neutrino oscillations. The basic results of the latter experiment are still subject to considerable uncertainty, which should, however, be resolved by forthcoming experiments. For the present discussion, and following many authors [2], we shall provisionally assume that the LSND data are correct. If it turns out that they are not, we can still use the assumption that solar neutrino oscillations are occurring to deduce that the atmospheric neutrino oscillations involve ν_μ and ν_μ^s even if $\lambda \neq 0$.

It has been widely pointed out [2] that, if the solar neutrino deficit is energy dependent, the δm^2 's implied by the three oscillation experiments are so disparate that it is necessary to invoke at least 4 neutrino flavors in order to accommodate the 3 different δm^2 's. In the literature these 4 flavors are usually assumed to be ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ and ν^s (since a fourth active neutrino is forbidden by the LEP data [18] on decaying Z particles). It has been argued [19] that the totality of neutrino oscillation data are best fit by a neutrino mass spectrum which consists of two nearly degenerate pairs of mass states, one pair being responsible for the solar neutrino anomaly and the other for the atmospheric anomaly. In this scheme, either the solar anomaly would be due to $\nu_e - \nu_e^s$ oscillations and the atmospheric anomaly to $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ oscillations, or the solar anomaly to $\nu_e - \nu_\tau$ and the atmospheric one to $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$.

In addition the two nearly degenerate pairs of mass states would be separated by ~ 1 eV to accommodate the LSND data. This mass spectrum has also been used to provide for the cosmological hot dark matter in the mixed hot and cold dark matter scenario, where $\Sigma m_\nu \sim 2$ eV [20]. By contrast, in the decaying neutrino theory m_{ν_τ} would be much too large for ν_τ to belong to the 4-neutrino spectrum, and $\nu_\mu - \nu_\tau$ oscillations could be ruled out for the atmospheric anomaly even if $\lambda \neq 0$. However, this spectrum, and its compatibility with the data, can be retained in our theory if a second sterile neutrino ν_e^s is introduced to act as a partner to ν_e . Then the solar neutrino anomaly would be mainly due to $\nu_e - \nu_e^s$ oscillations rather than $\nu_e - \nu_\mu$ or $\nu_e - \nu_\tau$. Models with two and with three sterile neutrinos have, in fact, already been discussed in the literature [21]. According to the most recent analysis [16] of the solar neutrino data, the $\nu_e - \nu_e^s$ solution is a viable one. Our prediction that the solar neutrino anomaly is mainly due to $\nu_e - \nu_e^s$ oscillations can be tested [16] in forthcoming measurements by SNO and BOREXINO, for example by measuring the $\nu - e$ scattering rate for the 0.86 MeV Be^7 line.

Finally we consider the possibility that there is a third sterile neutrino ν_τ^s which is associated with ν_τ . A particularly interesting situation would arise if ν_τ and ν_τ^s were maximally mixed and if the mass eigenstates were split by an amount small compared to each eigenmass. The $\nu_\tau - \nu_\tau^s$ system would then constitute a pseudo-Dirac neutrino [23]. To avoid a resultant doubling of the density of the universe we appeal to the Foot-Volkas mechanism [4] to suppress the sterile neutrinos which would otherwise be produced during the era in the early universe in which ν_τ is coupled to the heat bath. To confirm this possibility this mechanism would need to be extended to the case where all three active

neutrinos are oscillating into their sterile partners in the early universe.

After ν_τ has decoupled its abundance would be depleted by its oscillations into ν_τ^s . In the present universe we would expect to find equal number densities ($\sim 55 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) of ν_τ and ν_τ^s . We now consider the effect of this situation on the decaying neutrino theory. Each mass eigenstate consists of a superposition of active and sterile components with equal amplitudes (because the mixing has been assumed to be maximal). Then each mass eigenstate would radiatively decay via its active component with nearly the same lifetime (since the mass splitting has been assumed to be small). The decay line would thus be split into two narrowly separated lines with nearly the same intensity. (There would also be a further small splitting due to the two mass eigenstates of the secondary neutrino in the decay).

We can place constraints on the extent of this line splitting from astronomical observations. The harder line cannot be too hard or the observed upper limit on the H α flux from intergalactic neutral hydrogen clouds would be exceeded [5]. The softer line cannot have an energy less than 13.6 eV because if it did, the dark matter neutrinos in the Galaxy would produce an unabsorbed line of enormous intensity which would have already been easily observed [24]. These considerations limit each of the lines to lying above the Lyman limit with the sum of their excesses being bounded by about 0.2 eV.

If the line splitting could be resolved by a suitable detector each line would have half the intensity of the previously derived line, since the decay lifetime would be nearly the same for each mass eigenstate as the mass splitting is small.

This analysis suggests that all three active neutrinos might be of the pseudo-Dirac type, as has already been proposed by Geiser, by Bowes and Volkas and by Koide and Fusaoka (see (21)). The implied maximal mixing of ν_e and ν_e^s could be compatible with the MSW explanation [22] of the solar neutrino data if the published error bars on these data were increased by a factor 1.7 for all four experiments (Homestake, GALLEX, SAGE and Superkamiokande) or by less than 2.5 for the Homestake experiment alone [25].

We conclude that if the decaying neutrino theory for the ionisation of hydrogen in the interstellar medium is correct, and if the cosmological constant λ is zero, then the atmospheric neutrino data must involve $\nu_\mu - \nu_\mu^s$ oscillations. If, in addition, the solar neutrino deficit is energy dependent, and both it and the LSND data are due to neutrino oscillations (but λ is not necessarily zero), then the solar neutrino oscillations must involve ν_e and ν_e^s . Both these conclusions can be tested by forthcoming experiments.

If, in addition, there are $\nu_\tau - \nu_\tau^s$ oscillations, then the decay line would be split into two lines of equal intensity and spacing less than 0.2 eV. This splitting might be observable.

These possibilities are compatible with all three active neutrinos being of the pseudo-Dirac type if the published error bars of the solar neutrino experiments are somewhat increased.

I am grateful to E.K. Akhmedov, B.C. Allanach, R. Foot, Q.Y. Liu, D.H. Perkins, A. Masiero, S.T. Petcov, G.G. Ross, S. Sarkar, A.Y. Smirnov and R.R. Volkas for helpful discussions and the Italian MURST for their financial support of this work.

* Email address: sciama@sissa.it

- [1] Y. Fukuda et al, Phys. Lett.B **433**, 9 (1998); Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998).
- [2] M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia et al, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 033004 (1998); hep-ph/9807305
S.C. Gibbons et al, Phys. Lett. B **430**, 296 (1998) 7. F. Vissani and A.Y. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B **432**, 376 (1998); M. Narayan, G. Rajasekharan and S.V. Sanker, Phys. Rev. D. **58**, 031301 (1998); Q.Y. Liu and A.Y. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **524**, 505 (1998); R. Foot, R.R. Volkas and O. Yasuda, Phys. Rev. D.**58**, 013006 (1998); Phys. Lett. B, **433** 82 (1998); P. Lipari and M. Lusignoli, hep-ph/9803440.; R.P. Thun and S.McKee, hep-ph/9806534.
- [3] R. Barbieri and A. Dolgov, Phys. Lett. B. **237**, 440 (1990); K. Enquist, K. Kainulainen and J. Maalampi, Nucl. Phys. B. **349**, 754 (1991); K. Enquist, K. Kainulainen and M. Thomson, Nucl. Phys. B. **373**, 498 (1992); J.M. Cline, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3137 (1992); X. Shi, D.N. Schramm and B.D. Fields, Phys. Rev. D. **48**, 2563 (1993).
- [4] R. Foot and R.R. Volkas, Phys. Rev D **55**, 5147 (1997), **56**, 6653 (1997); astro-ph/9811067; S.M. Bilenky et al, hep-ph/9804421.
- [5] D.W. Sciama, Ap.J. **364**, 549 (1990), Modem Cosmology and the Dark Matter Problem (Cambridge; Cambridge University Press 1993).
- [6] D.W. Sciama, MNRAS **289**, 945 (1997).
- [7] D. Branch, astro-ph/9801065; G.A. Tammann, astro-ph/9805013. B.E. Schaefer, astro-ph/9808157.
- [8] R.G. Gratton et al, Ap.J. **491**, 749 (1997); B.Chaboyer, astro-ph/9808200.
- [9] S. Perlmutter et al, Nature.**391**, 51 (1998); A.V. Filippenko and A.G. Riess, astro-ph/9807008.
- [10] D.W. Sciama, Ap.J. **488**, 234 (1997).
- [11] L. Spitzer and E.L. Fitzpatrick, Ap.J. **409**, 299 (1993).
- [12] Particle Physics Summary, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 611 (1996).
- [13] J.T. Peltoniemi, hep-ph/9511323. Astron. and Astrophys.**254**, 121 (1992) H.Nunokawa et al, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 1704 (1997); D.O. Caldwell, G.M. Fuller and Y.Z. Qian, in preparation.
- [14] W. Kluzniak, astro-ph/9807224.
- [15] E.K. Akhmedov, V.A. Rubakov and A.Y. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1359 (1998).
- [16] J.N. Bahcall, P.I. Krastev and A.Y. Smirnov, hep-ph/9807216.
- [17] C. Athanassopoulos et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1774 (1998).
- [18] P.B. Renton, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A. **12**, 4109 (1997).
- [19] N. Okuda and O. Yasuda, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **12**, 3669 (1997); S.M. Bilenky, C. Giunti and W. Grimus, Eur. Phys. J. C. **1**, 247 (1998); V. Barger et al, hep-ph/9806328.
- [20] J.R. Primack et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2160 (1995).

- [21] R. Foot, Mod. Phys. Lett. A9, **169** (1994); R. Foot and R.R. Volkas, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 6595 (1995); A. Geiser, CERN-EP/98-56; J.P. Bowes and R.R. Volkas, hep-ph/9804310; D. Suematsu, hep-ph/9805305; Y. Koide and H. Fusaoka, hep-ph/9806516; W. Krolikowski, hep-ph/9808207.
- [22] S.P. Mikheyev and A.Y. Smirnov, Nuovo.Cim.C **9**, 17 (1986); L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2369 (1978), D **20**, 2634 (1979).
- [23] L. Wolfenstein, Nucl. Phys. B **186**, 147 (1981); S.M. Bilenky and S. T. Petcov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 671 (1987).
- [24] J.B. Holberg, Ap.J., **311**, 969 (1986); E.J. Korpela, S. Bowyer and J. Edelstein, Ap.J. **495**, 317 (1998).
- [25] Q.Y. Liu (private communication).